

Message

From: Chesnutt, John [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=E1CD369E94474C2C8A876FB16943320A-JCHESNUT]
Sent: 10/6/2017 12:03:26 AM
To: LEE, LILY [LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV]
Subject: RE: Fyi I have told Navy and City staff Fwd: For San Fran Mayor - Hunters Point Update

Thanks Lily

From: LEE, LILY
Sent: Thursday, October 05, 2017 4:48 PM
To: Manzanilla, Enrique <Manzanilla.Enrique@epa.gov>
Cc: Herrera, Angeles <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov>; Chesnutt, John <Chesnutt.John@epa.gov>
Subject: Fyi I have told Navy and City staff Fwd: For San Fran Mayor - Hunters Point Update

I have verbally told this below to my technical staff counterparts at Navy, City, DTSC, and CDPH.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "LEE, LILY" <LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV>
Date: October 5, 2017 at 3:53:01 PM PDT
To: "Manzanilla, Enrique" <Manzanilla.Enrique@epa.gov>
Cc: "Herrera, Angeles" <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov>, "Chesnutt, John" <Chesnutt.John@epa.gov>
Subject: For San Fran Mayor - Hunters Point Update

Dear Enrique,

Mayor Lee requested your cell number. He is likely urging you to expedite review of the Parcel B&G data evaluation results from the Navy received 9/29. The Navy asked for 2 week response. We told them we could not guarantee this due to the large volume of information to review and to our Health Physicists' deployment to Puerto Rico. However, we are making it a priority to expedite the review.

The City has told us its priority is Parcel G, so we are starting with that. The Navy stated their priority is to get an indication of which survey units are a high, medium, or low priority. If all goes well, we plan to give that informal indication by the end of next week for Parcel G, which would be 2 weeks from receipt. We expect to be able to do that for Parcel B a week or two later. However, we will need more time to give more formal thorough comments.

Please note that so far we have reviewed almost half the Parcel G trench survey unit forms where the Navy did not recommend for resampling based on their evaluation. We conclude they do show reasons for concern and they merit further sampling roughly 80% of the time.

Also, please note that we have not come to agreement about what level of further sampling rework will be needed at different levels of concern. We await the Navy's proposal for that.

The State has its own review process, and they are still determining their timeline.

We are making this a high priority and collaborating with the state to expedite this process.